Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,076	TAKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter Szekely	1714
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:
(1) Peter Szekely.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael Morgan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 December 2003	Time: <u>1:30 p.m.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representative) No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: Claims 1 and 17.		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE The improper Markush language in claims 1 and 17 will		TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
M		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)